# PROJECT<br/>OBJECTIVE:

Quantify the relationship between the operation of homes in Town as short-term rentals and the cost and availability of workforce housing.

#### Approach

- Descriptive Statistics overview of housing and labor market trends and current STR landscape
- Impact Model Econometric model based on The Effect of Home-Sharing on House Prices and Rents: Evidence from Airbnb to identify housing market impacts directly caused by STR activity.
- **Fee Option** Converts supply impact into a per unit annual fee that could be assessed if the Town desires to mitigate impacts identified in the study.

## WHAT MARKET IMPACTS ARE ACTUALLY CAUSED BY VACATION RENTAL (STR) ACTIVITY?

The impact analysis uses an econometric model to isolate and quantify the causal relationship between STRs and market trends. The model:

- Controls for local demographic trends including changes in population, income, employment and education—over time and across geographies.
- Uses a fixed effects specification that controls for shocks to housing market conditions that are common across geographies, as well as controlling for the average differences across geographies in any unobservable amenities; and
- Uses an instrumental variable (IV) approach that measures causal impacts of growth in STR's on different measures of housing supply.

National model, state-level model, and sub-state model focused on above-average tourism communities.

## DIRECT IMPACT OF ESTES PARK STRS ON WORKFORCE HOUSING SUPPLY

- Estes Park market data applied to model coefficients
- Impact measured as % rental and owner stock lost due to % change in STRs
- % impact applied to actual housing and STR stock to calculate units lost per 100 STRs (below).

	Colorado Model (IV)	Colorado Tourist Model (IV)
Units Lost from Rental stock per 100 STRs	6.2 units	9.0 units
Units Lost from Owner stock per 100 STRs	8.6 units	n/a

Data Source: Root Policy Research

### SUPPORTABLE FEE CALCULATION

#### The "affordability gap" methodology for fee calculation:

- Is the standard method for fee calculation in affordable housing linkage fee studies. The same principle applies here though the impact was derived from a supply-impact methodology as opposed to a demand-impact methodology.
- Measures the difference in market-rate housing and housing affordable to existing permanent residents by area median income (AMI).
- That differential is applied to the supply impacts outlined the previous slide and then annualized to quantify a potential annual fee per short-term rental unit.

This analysis supports a fee of up to \$1,390 per unit per year to mitigate the quantifiable impact of STRs on local workforce housing.

## Affordability Gap:

Difference in market rate housing and what is affordable to workforce, weighted by the income distribution of existing residents.

Avg Sale Price: \$677,316 Avg Rent: \$1,845

### AFFORDABILITY GAP CALCULATION: DIFFERENCE IN AFFORDABLE TO WORKFORCE AND MARKET RATE

Owner Households by Income Range	% of owners	2021 Income Limit	Max Affordable Home Price	Affordability Gap	Annualized Affordability Gap
0-30% AMI	6%	\$23,000	\$93,623	\$583,693	\$19,456
30-60% AMI	11%	\$46,080	\$187,572	\$489,744	\$16,325
60-80% AMI	10%	\$61,400	\$249,933	\$427,383	\$14,246
80-100% AMI	12%	\$76,800	\$312,620	\$364,696	\$12,157
100-120% AMI	10%	\$92,160	\$375,144	\$302,172	\$10,072
120-175% AMI	23%	\$134,400	\$547,085	\$130,231	\$4,341
175% AMI or more	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Weighted A	Annual Gap per	Owner Househol	ld \$7,696
		11018110011	minute Cap per		. , , , , , ,
		2021	Мах	Monthly	Annualized
Renter Households	% of				
Renter Households by Income Range	% of renters	2021	Max	Monthly	Annualized
		2021 Income	Max Affordable	Monthly Affordability	Annualized Affordability
by Income Range	renters	2021 Income Limit	Max Affordable Rent	Monthly Affordability Gap	Annualized Affordability Gap
by Income Range 0-30% AMI	renters 23%	2021 Income Limit \$23,000	Max Affordable Rent \$575	Monthly Affordability Gap \$1,270	Annualized Affordability Gap \$15,240
by Income Range 0-30% AMI 30-60% AMI	23% 47%	2021 Income Limit \$23,000 \$46,080	Max Affordable Rent \$575 \$1,152	Monthly Affordability Gap \$1,270 \$693	Annualized Affordability Gap \$15,240 \$8,316
by Income Range 0-30% AMI 30-60% AMI 60-80% AMI	renters 23% 47% 10%	2021 Income Limit \$23,000 \$46,080 \$61,400	Max Affordable Rent \$575 \$1,152 \$1,535	Monthly Affordability Gap \$1,270 \$693 \$310	Annualized Affordability Gap \$15,240 \$8,316 \$3,720
by Income Range 0-30% AMI 30-60% AMI 60-80% AMI 80-100% AMI	renters 23% 47% 10% 5%	2021 Income Limit \$23,000 \$46,080 \$61,400 \$76,800	Max Affordable Rent \$575 \$1,152 \$1,535 \$1,920	Monthly Affordability Gap \$1,270 \$693 \$310 \$9	Annualized Affordability Gap \$15,240 \$8,316 \$3,720 \$0
by Income Range 0-30% AMI 30-60% AMI 60-80% AMI 80-100% AMI	renters 23% 47% 10% 5% 2%	2021 Income Limit \$23,000 \$46,080 \$61,400 \$76,800 \$92,160	Max Affordable Rent \$575 \$1,152 \$1,535 \$1,920 \$2,304	Monthly Affordability Gap \$1,270 \$693 \$310 \$0 \$0	Annualized Affordability Gap \$15,240 \$8,316 \$3,720 \$0 \$0

### Supportable STR Fee Calculation

## AFFORDABILITY GAP CALCULATION: DIFFERENCE IN AFFORDABLE TO WORKFORCE AND MARKET RATE

Weighted Annual Gap per Owner Household \$7,696

Weighted Annual Gap per Renter Household \$7,744

#### AFFORDABILITY GAP APPLIED TO STR IMPACTS

STR Impacts (see Section III for details)	CO Model	CO Tourist Model
Units Lost from Rental stock per 100 STRs	6	9
Units Lost from Owner stock per 100 STRs	9	9
Fee Application		
Annual Rental Affordability Gap created by 100 STRs	\$ 46,461	\$ 69,692
Annual Owner Affordability Gap created by 100 STRs	\$ 69,261	\$ 69,261
Agregate Annual Affordability Gap per 100 STRs	\$ 115,722	\$ 138,953
Supportable Annual Fee per STR	\$ 1,157	\$ 1,390

It is important to note that the methodology described above reflects a conservative approach to fee calculation as the fee only captures the marginal difference between market-rate home costs and workforce affordable home costs (as opposed to capturing the full cost to construct "replacement" units) and because it assumes displaced households have the same income representation as current residents.

#### SUPPORTABLE FEES IN CONTEXT

Typical EP STR:

2 BR / 2 bath; ADR

of \$328, rents 167

days per year, and
generates \$53,684

annually.

	Colorado Model	CO Tourist Model
Supportable Annual Fee per STR	\$1,157	\$1,390
As a % of average annual revenue for typical Estes Park STR (\$53,684)	2.2%	2.6%
Supportable Nightly Fee (based on average of 167 rented nights/yr)	\$6.93	\$8.32
% increase to average daily rate for typical Estes Park STR (\$328)	2.1%	2.5%

#### SUPPORTABLE FEES IN CONTEXT

Typical EP STR:

2 BR / 2 bath; ADR

of \$328, rents 167

days per year, and
generates \$53,684

annually.

	Colorado Model	CO Tourist Model
Supportable Annual Fee per STR	\$1,157	\$1,390
As a % of average annual revenue for typical Estes Park STR (\$53,684)	2.2%	2.6%
Supportable Nightly Fee (based on average of 167 rented nights/yr)	\$6.93	\$8.32
% increase to average daily rate for typical Estes Park STR (\$328)	2.1%	2.5%
Annual Revenue potential (493 registered STRs)	\$570,509	\$685,036