







SLIDE LIBRARY AN OVERVIEW OF RESEARCH RESULTS MAY 17TH, 2024

NWCCOG/CAST COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT PROJECT

INTRODUCTION

In early 2024, Northwest Colorado Council of Governments (NWCCOG) and Colorado Association of Ski Towns (CAST) partnered with Insight Collective to survey 4,000 individuals across 30+ communities in the five-county COG region regarding how residents and second homeowners perceive their communities. This research was supported by a CEDS Implementation Grant from Colorado OEDIT.

The following slides provide an overview of the results from the study. For additional information about the research contact:

Jon Stavney | Executive Director – NWCCOG | jstavney@nwccog.org | (970) 471-9050 Tom Foley | Senior VP Business Intelligence - Inntopia | <u>Tfoley@inntopia.com</u> | (760) 880-1825

The term Continuum© Copyright [2024] as used in this report represents the intellectual property of Insights Collective and all rights are reserved. Following report sections that use the term Continuum are protected and no part of this report containing the term Continuum may be reproduced, distributed or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of the copyright holder (Insights Collective) except in the case of brief quotation embodied in critical reviews and certain other noncommercial uses permitted by copyright law.



THE INSIGHTS COLLECTIVE TRAVEL ECONOMY THINK TANK



Barb Taylor-Carpender Owner | Taylored Alliances



Chris Cares Managing Director | RRC Associates



Ralf Garrison Principal & Founder | The Advisory Group



Brain London President & CEO | London Tourism Publications



Susan Rubin-Steward Customer Insights/ Call Center Strategist | SRS Consulting



Carl Ribaudo President & Chief Strategist | SMG Consulting



Jesse True Marketing | Arapahoe Basin



Tom Foley SVP Business Process & Analytics | Inntopia



"None of us is as smart individually as all of us, together...."

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction

Methodology & Demographics

Employment & Workforce

Tourism Topics

Quality of Life/Open Ended Comments

Community/Destination Continuum

Short Term Rentals

Use of Metrics by Community Members

Example Situations & Mountain Town Problems

Using The Scenarios



INSIGHT COLLECTIVE PLATFORM 2020-2024


Insights Collective (IC) was formed in 2020 at the height of COVID; the group's purpose was to create a small team of tourism industry professionals to support community efforts to deal with the economic and social challenges created by the pandemic. This group characterized their work using the platform below.






COVID PANDEMIC

Situational Transition: Health Crisis to Economic Uncertainty



Pandemic Conditions: Unknown Health Risks No Vaccines / Therapeutics Global Economic Shutdown Supply Chain Failure Setup Very Strong Economics



Transitional Conditions: New COVID Variants Vaccines / Therapeutics -> Lessened Risk Stimulus Packages -> Seeding Inflation \$1.3T Savings -> Rate / Price Tolerance In-Migration -> Real Estate Boom / Prices Workforce Housing, Cost of Living -> Out Migration Supply Chain Unbalanced -> Inventory Down, \$\$ Up Pent Up Demand -> Booking Surge, \$\$ Up



Current Conditions: Known Health Risks Immunity / Therapeutics Supply Chain Recovering Geopolitical Turmoil Food Chain Disrupted Energy Chain Disrupted Mixed / Unbalanced Economics Near-Record Inflation Weak Travel Workforce Pent-Up Demand Subsided



BRIEF HISTORY RESORT COMMUNITY EVOLUTION

RESORT	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	2010	2020	Community
Balanced Resort Community									
Balance/Sustainability									Life-Style Resort/Community
Year-Round Resort									
Customer Lifetime Value									Retirement Community
Seasonal Resort									
Add Real Estate Focus									2 nd Home/ Part-Time Resident
Destination Lodging									
Add Lodging Services									 Purpose Built Resort
Day Visitors									
									 Mountain Beach Town



A CONCEPT TO TEST.....

The marketplace has changed; A new way of thinking is warranted.



NOW

Tourism = Means to End-Goal: "Quality of Life"



A PARADIGM SHIFT IN PROGRESS?

THEN - 2020	NOW : 2023-24	FUTURE: < 2025
Demand/Marketing	Management	Transient Visitor Mitigation
Extractive	Sustainability	Regeneration
Legacy: Visitor Transient Visitor Focus	Community New Resident "Voice"	Regeneration
Economic Focus	Quality Of Life	Balanced Lifestyle For All
TOURISM = GOAL		TOURISM = MEANS TO END For Stakeholder Quality of Life



WANTED: A BALANCED PERSPECTIVE

Well Established!

Legacy Tourism Economic Metrics

The Opportunity...

Community Quality of Life Metrics

- Revenue
- Profit
- GDP
- DOW
- Occ/Rate/RevPar
- Sales Tax
- Population Growth

ILLUSTRATIVE MODEL

- Lifestyle
- Well-Bering
- Thrive
- Balance
- Diversity, Equity, Inclusion



WHY THIS PROJECT?

The marketplace has changed, and new tools and thinking are needed.

IC, together with NWCCOG representatives, identified a potential need for new metrics, insights and tools to address evolving conditions in tourism-based communities. Focusing particularly on the five-county NWCCOG region, a study was undertaken to measure resident perceptions and to identify appropriate tools and measures for dealing with current conditions. The work focused particularly on whether new metrics might be identified that could help meet community needs. From this work, a new tool called Continuum emerged.



A NEW PARADIGM?



CONTINUUM



Source: NWCCOG/CAST Community Assessment Project Continuum© Copyright [2024] represents the property of Insights Collective - All rights are reserved.

WHAT IS CONTINUUM?

All Resort Communities are on a continuum somewhere between the extremes of wholly tourism-focused and wholly resident-focused. Where your community is on that continuum is a matter of resident *perception* more than policy.

Measuring where residents feel the community is and should be on that continuum is measuring the work needed to find policy that balances sound economics and community contentment.



THE BASIC PREMISE

TOURISM FOCUSED



Tourism destinations are undergoing a shift towards becoming more resident-focused, albeit to varying degrees and different segments of the population perceive this transition differently.

Continuum serves as a valuable resource for communities, aiding them in understanding the diverse range of perspectives surrounding this transition and to better manage change that benefits the entire community.




WHAT IS CONTINUUM?

"Continuum" is a cutting-edge analytic tool designed to provide comprehensive insights into destination management and community balance.

Whether you are a destination manager, community planner, or tourism stakeholder, Continuum equips you with the *data-driven* intelligence needed to make informed decisions and impactful strategic choices and foster destination and community stewardship.



CONTINUUM A NEW WAY OF THINKING ABOUT AND MEASURING COMMUNITY VALUES





Source: NWCCOG/CAST Community Assessment Project Continuum© Copyright [2024] represents the property of Insights Collective - All rights are reserved.

KEY FEATURES OF THIS UNIQUE APPROACH

1. Destination Analysis

• "Continuum" offers in-depth analysis of visitor trends, demographic profiles, and economic impact to help optimize destination experiences and infrastructure planning.

2. Community Engagement:

• With "Continuum," you can assess the social and cultural impacts of tourism on local communities, identify areas for improvement, and foster meaningful engagement with residents.

3. Sustainability Metrics:

• Measure the environmental footprint of tourism activities and implement strategies to balance community and visitor needs for long-term sustainability.

4. Data Analysis:

• "Continuum" provides intuitive analysis and resulting insights to simplify complex data sets, making it easy to interpret and share insights with stakeholders.

5. Scenario Planning:

• Anticipate future trends, model different scenarios, and develop strategies to achieve a balanced approach to destination management and community well-being using proven metrics.



KEY TERMS

A new vocabulary emerged from the research with frequent use of the following terms:

- The Voice of the Resident & of the Second Homeowner
- Tourism Centric/Resident Centric
- Departure Gap. i.e how far a community is from where it wants to be?
- Balance
- KPIs
- Contributing KPIs



THE COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT PROJECT DOCUMENTS & OUTCOMES

The Community Assessment research effort resulted in multiple documents and outcomes:

Project White Paper

 Details the research effort and provides an in-depth examination of the findings and the development of the Community/Destination Continuum Assessment[©] metric.

PowerPoint Slide Library – An Overview of Research Results

• Provides an overview of research and summary slides for use by stakeholders.

NWCCOG Mountain Economic Summit Presentation (5/2/2024) – Video available

How Do I Get More Information?

Contact NWCCOG and/or the Insights Collective regarding details or the research or subsequent consulting or research opportunities.



METHODS & DEMOGRAPHICS

METHODOLOGY

Two primary methods of outreach were used to invite participation in the on-line (digital) survey:

- 1) Postcards to a random sample of residents of the five-county Council of Governments (COG) region: Eagle, Grand, Pitkin, Routt and Summit.
 - These respondents were considered the statistically valid sample.
- 2) Direct email outreach the "Open" version of the survey.
 - The Open sample included responses from invitations sent by NWCCOG and CAST using e-mailing lists, as well as publicity to encourage sharing the survey.





METHODOLOGY

The survey produced a robust sample!





1,470 "Open" Response Sample

4,000 Total Survey Responses



DEMOGRAPHICS: AN IMPORTANT KEY TO UNDERSTANDING OPINIONS & BEHAVIORS

Demographic data represent an important component of the surveying effort. The survey contained a series of questions regarding respondent demographics. The key demographic segments are used to crosstab or "filter" many of the questions that were investigated. Examples of these segments include:

The Geography of Participants – A focus was the five county NWCCOG region


- Results presented Overall and then segmented by one of 5 counties •
- **Residency Type**
 - Resident Renter, Resident Owner, Second Homeowner, Second Homeowner that Short Term Rents Unit
- Length of Time in Community
- Level of Involvement in Governance
 - Elected Officials/Boards, Not-involved, etc. •
- Income
- Age, Gender, Presence of Kids
- **Origins of Residents in Mountain Counties**
 - Where did you move from?



GEOGRAPHY A KEY MEASURE

Eagle | Grand | Pitkin | Routt | Summit

This survey is intended for residents, employees, second homeowners, and residential property owners in Summit, Eagle, Grand, Pitkin, and Routt Counties. Which of the following describe you? (Check all that apply)






RESIDENCY A KEY MEASURE

Full Time Residents	Second Homeowners
Owners	 Do <u>NOT</u> STR their residence
Renters	 Do STR their residence


This survey is intended for residents, employees, second homeowners, and residential property owners in Summit, Eagle, Grand, Pitkin, and Routt Counties. Which of the following describe you? (Check all that apply)





INCOME


Which of these categories best describes the total gross annual income of your household (before taxes)?





INCOME

Which of these categories best describes the total gross annual income of your household (before taxes)?





LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT IN GOVERNANCE


[If FTR, seasonal employee, or work in the area] Which of the following best describes your role in the community from a governance perspective? (Check all that apply)

	Grand Total	Eagle	Grand	Pitkin	Routt	Summit	Other CO
I am not active in local governance in the ways identified above		30%	36%	42%	36%	50%	26%
Actively engaged resident	34%	28%	41%	34%	38%	31%	41%
Employed by a public-sector or non-profit organization	26%	34%	20%	16%	22%	20%	29%
An unelected member of local boards or commissions	8%	7%	8%	8%	8%	4%	13%
An elected official	3%	5%	5%	1%	3%	1%	3%
Other	5%	6%	3%	6%	5%	2%	4%



HOMEOWNERSHIP


Do you own or rent the residence that you occupy in this area?





LENGTH OF TIME IN COMMUNITY

How long have you lived (or owned property if a part-time resident or nonresident) within this community?





LENGTH OF TIME IN COMMUNITY


Own - use as secondary Own - use as primary Grand Total Rent residence residence Less than 1 year 1% 2% 7% 3% 24% 14% 26% 1-5 years 33% 6-15 years 30% 26% 31% 34% 17% 17% 16-24 years 21% 12% 17% 9% 25-34 years 13% 13% 11% 35+ years 13% 21% 6% 1% Not applicable 0.4% 0.2%

How long have you lived (or owned property if a part-time resident or nonresident) within this community?




AGE & GENDER

Respondent age




How would you describe your gender?






AGE & GENDER

Respondent age




How would you describe your gender?





HOUSEHOLD MAKEUP

Do you have any children grade 12 or younger?




[If have chidren in grade school] Are your child(ren) of K-12 age:

	Grand Total	Eagle	Grand	Pitkin	Routt	Summit	Other CO
Enrolled in K-12 public school		82%	86%	67%	80%	87%	94%
Enrolled in K-12 private school	11%	10%	11%	26%	13%	9%	6%
Home schooled	4%	6%	3%		6%	4%	
Not enrolled in school	2%	2%		7%	1%	1%	



HOUSEHOLD MAKEUP

Do you have any children grade 12 or younger?




[If have chidren in grade school] Are your child(ren) of K-12 age:

	Grand Total	O	wn - use as primary residence	Own - use as secondary residence	Rent	
Enrolled in K-12 public school	0.0	%	86%	69%	92%	
Enrolled in K-12 private school	11%	9%	1	27%		
Home schooled	4%	4%		1%	8%	
Not enrolled in school	1%	1%		3%		



RACE & ETHNICITY

How would you describe your race and ethnic background? (Check all that apply)






ORIGINS OF FULL-TIME RESIDENTS

Top Ten States of Origin for Mountain Community Residents:


- 42.2% Colorado
- 6.5% California
- 5.9% Illinois
- 5.6% New York
- 2.7% Texas
- 3.2% Michigan
- 2.3% Wisconsin
- 2.2% Virginia
- 2.2% Missouri
- 1.9% Montana



Geography of Prior Residence (per ZIP)


ORIGINS OF RESIDENTS

[If full-time resident or seasonal employee] Prior to moving here, which category best describes your former place of residence?



Geography of Prior Residence (per ZIP)

Top 10 Counties






ORIGINS OF RESIDENTS

Most Mountain Residents are from Urban Areas

- 59% moved from urban areas
- 14% moved from rural areas
- 13% moved from another resort community
- 9% have always lived in the specified location





DEMOGRAPHIC TAKEAWAYS

Demographic data provided an important foundation for developing and evaluating Continuum. The research tested a "proof of concept," and demographic information was used to measure similarities and differences between different segments (cohorts) of the population.

TAKEAWAYS:

There are identifiable differences in resident perceptions and opinions that can be measured and leveraged for community benefit. For example:

- Compare your benchmark county position to other counties and municipalities.
- Demographic data provide a tool for analyzing opinions that contribute to relative positioning on Continuum
- Target policies across cohorts Full Time Residents and Second Homeowners are obvious targets for attention, these segments differ in their wants and needs. But don't overlook other demographic factors, all of the measures cited above provide insight into Continuum positioning and offer potential means of developing policies and actions to further community goals.
- Measure your Continuum positioning over time.



DEMOGRAPHIC TAKEAWAYS

Among all demographic cohorts there is almost unanimous consensus that a shift towards Continuum resident-centricity is desired in resort communities.

TAKEAWAY:


Recognize that at the current time there is strong support for moving toward greater resident-centricity. There are identifiable differences in opinions that can be leveraged to help move communities toward this desired future.



EMPLOYMENT & WORKFORCE

EMPLOYMENT & WORKFORCE


Working from home has continued since COVID. The 2021 NWCCOG Mountain Migration found about 50% of households had someone working from home. Based on the 2023/24 Community Assessment Survey, that figure is estimated to be about 58% overall, with particularly high levels by year-round owners and second homeowners.





EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Which of the following best describes your current employment status?



[If currently employed] How frequently do you work from your mountain home?






EMPLOYMENT & WORKFORCE RESPONSES FROM RECENT 2ND HOME BUYERS (WITHIN LAST 5 YEARS)

The data support a deeper analysis of work from home patterns by differing cohorts. On the following slides, second homeowners that have purchased in the past five years are selected, and then analyzed by income. Results show that there are high levels of working from mountain (second) homes among affluent recent purchasers. And these residents are using their homes at relatively high levels (approximately 19 weeks or 38% of the year). This finding suggests that this segment may represent a group worthy of attention, many are a different type of second homeowner than was present prior to COVID and they might warrant some special attention. Are there community benefits from better integrating these newcomers into the community fabric? What unique qualities and economic resources do they bring that could be used to support community culture and perhaps philanthropic support? These are but a few of the questions suggested by the survey data describing new part-time residents (migrants) and their behaviors.




EMPLOYMENT & WORKFORCE RESPONSES FROM RECENT 2ND HOME BUYERS (WITHIN LAST 5 YEARS)



Income Profile of New Purchasers


Which of the following best describes your current employment status?





EMPLOYMENT & WORKFORCE RESPONSES FROM RECENT 2ND HOME BUYERS (WITHIN LAST 5 YEARS)

[If currently employed] How frequently do you work from your mountain home?



Weeks of the Use of the Second Home

by New Resident with Household Income Over \$300,000

Seasonal Use for Family	19 Weeks (36%)
Vacation Rental (Note - 52% do not STR their unit)	11 Weeks (21%)
Vacant	22 Weeks (43%)
Total	52 Weeks (100%)



TOURISM TOPICS

TOURISM TOPICS QUESTIONS DESIGNED TO QUANTIFY RESPONDENT OPINIONS ON TOURISM

The survey contained a series of questions on tourism-related matters. The results provide important benchmark metrics for comparisons among communities and resident types.

For example, the survey asked questions about:

- Overcrowding
- Tourism funding
- Changes in the quality of life



How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (1 = Strongly disagree; 5 = Strongly agree)

Higher Among Primary Residents

Rating Category	Avg	Own vs. Rent	Disagree (1 & 2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4 & 5)
The area is overcrowded		Rent	20% 25%	30%	23% 45%
because of too many visitors.	3.4	Own - use as primary residence	18% 24%	27%	24% 25% 49%
	3.0	Own - use as secondary residence	24% 33%	37%	22% 30%

About half of Resident Renters and Owners agree that the area is overcrowded. Second homeowners are less likely to feel this way (one in three). Based on anecdotal discussions, we believe crowding concerns may have diminished post COVID; however, they still remain a force. This finding may help to explain responses on the following question about tourism funding.



"I would favor diverting tourism funds from marketing to other community priorities":

Approximately how much funding would you suggest be shifted?

Own vs. Rent	Avg	Disagree (1 & 2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4 & 5)	Less than 25%	25-50%	50-75%	75-100%
Rent	4.0	12%	12%	32% 44% 76%	17%	40%	27%	16%
Own - use as primary residence	4.0	12%	13%	34% 42% 75%	10%	37%	31%	22%
Own - use as secondary residence	3.4	20%	26%	36% 53%	20%	51%	21%	8%



DIVERTING FUNDS FROM TOURISM

"I would favor diverting tourism funds from marketing to other community priorities":

Approximately how much funding would you suggest be shifted?

Own vs. Rent	Avg	Disagree (1 & 2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4 & 5)	Less than 25%	25-50%	50-75%	75-100%
Rent	4.0	12%	12%	32% 44% 76%	17%	40%	27%	16%
Own - use as primary residence	4.0	12%	13%	34% 42% 75%	10%	37%	31%	22%
Own - use as secondary residence	3.4	20%	26%	36% 53%	20%	51%	21%	8%
County	Avg	Disagree (1 & 2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4 & 5)	Less than 25%	25-50%	50-75%	75-100%
Routt	4.1	11%	11%	<mark>29%</mark> 49% <mark>78%</mark>	9%	35%	31%	25%
Eagle	3.9	13%	16%	38% 33% 71%	17%	40%	27%	16%
Pitkin	3.9	14%	18%	31% 37% 68%	13%	54%	20%	13%
Summit	3.7	17%	19%	35% 29% 64%	19%	45%	25%	11%
Grand	3.7	15%	23%	34% 29% 62%	15%	47%	24%	14%
Other CO	3.8	16%	17%	29% 38% 67%	12%	31%	37%	20%



How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (1 = Strongly disagree; 5 = Strongly agree)

Higher Among Primary Residents

Rating Category	Avg	Own vs. Rent	Disa	agre	e (1 & 2)	Neutral (3)		Agree (4 & 5)
I would be willing to pay		Rent	36	%	47%	24%	19%	29%
more for local public services if it meant fewer visitors in	2.9	Own - use as primary residence	28%	64	1%	25%	24%	34%
the area.		Own - use as secondary residence	24%	32%	57%	24%	2	20%



How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (1 = Strongly disagree; 5 = Strongly agree) Higher Among Seasonal Residents

Rating Category	Avg	Own vs. Rent	. [Disagree (1 & 2)		Neutral (3)	A	gree (4 & 5)
In general, the benefits of a		Rent	23%			29%	31%	48%
visitor economy outweigh the - drawbacks for the community.		Own - use as primary residence		25%	2	0%	36%	55%
	3.9	Own - use as secondary residence	e 10%		16	5%	42%	32% <mark>73%</mark>

About half of full-time residents, and 73% of second homeowners agree that the benefits of a tourism economy outweigh the drawbacks. And relatively few disagree. Nevertheless, there remains strong support for moving toward more resident-centric positioning in all the counties measured. Looking for ways to communicate a message that supports the contributions of tourism is an appropriate response from DMOs based on the survey results.



How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (1 = Strongly disagree; 5 = Strongly agree)

Higher Among Primary Residents

Rating Category	Avg	Own vs. Rent	Disagree (1 & 2)	Neutral (3)	Agree (4 & 5)	
I would favor diverting		Rent	12%	12%	32%	44% <mark>76%</mark>
tourism funds from marketing to other community priorities.	4.0	Own - use as primary residence	12%	13%	34%	42% <mark>75%</mark>
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	3.4	Own - use as secondary residence	20%	26%	36%	17% <mark>53%</mark>
The quality of life in the area		Rent	12%	14%	31%	43% <mark>74%</mark>
is changing in ways that concern me.	4.0	Own - use as primary residence	13%	15%	29%	43% <mark>72%</mark>
	3.3	Own - use as secondary residence	20% 25%	31%	29%	44%
The area is overcrowded	3.4	Rent	20% 25%	30%	23%	45%
because of too many visitors.	3.4	Own - use as primary residence	18% 24%	27%	24% 25	[%] 49%
	3.0	Own - use as secondary residence	24% 33%	37%	22% 3	0%
I would be willing to pay		Rent	36% 47%	24%	19% 29	9%
more for local public services if it meant fewer visitors in		Own - use as primary residence	28% 41%	25%	24%	34%
the area.		Own - use as secondary residence	24% 32% 57%	24%	20%	



How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (1 = Strongly disagree; 5 = Strongly agree)

Higher Among Seasonal Residents

Rating Category	Avg	Own vs. Rent	Disagree (1 & 2)	Neutral (3)	Ag	ree (4 & 5)
Taxes collected and revenues	3.8	Rent	16%	12%	39%	33% <mark>72%</mark>
generated from the visitor economy help to sustain the	4.0	Own - use as primary residence	13%	12%	33%	42% <mark>75%</mark>
quality of life in the community.	4.2	Own - use as secondary residence	7%	8%	32%	53% <mark>84%</mark>
Arts organizations, cultural		Rent	12%	22%	45%	21% <mark>66%</mark>
attractions, hospital/medical services, and festivals benefit	3.9	Own - use as primary residence	11%	18%	43%	28% 71%
from visitors to the community.	4.3	Own - use as secondary residence	3%	9%	43%	45% <mark>88%</mark>
In general, the benefits of a	3.4	Rent	23%	29%	31%	48%
visitor economy outweigh the drawbacks for the community.	3.4	Own - use as primary residence	25%	20%	36%	55%
	3.9	Own - use as secondary residence	10%	16%	42%	32% 73%



TOURISM TOPICS

How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (1 = Strongly disagree; 5 = Strongly agree)

Higher Among Primary Residents

Rating Category	Avg	County		Disagr	ree (1 & 2)	Neutral (3)		Agree (4	& 5)
I would favor diverting		Routt	119	6		11%	29%	499	% <mark>78%</mark>
tourism funds from marketing	3.9	Eagle	13	%		16%	38%	5 33	% <mark>71%</mark>
to other community priorities.	3.9	Pitkin	14	%		18%	31%	37%	68%
	3.7	Summit	1	17%		19%	35%	29%	64%
	3.7			5%		23%	34%	29%	62%
	3.8	Other CO	1	6%		17%	29%	38%	67%
The quality of life in the area		Routt	<mark>9%</mark> 12	%		17%	28%	44%	71%
is changing in ways that	3.7	Eagle	15%	8%		22%	31%	29%	60%
concern me.	3.9	Pitkin	1	6%		12%	29%	43%	72%
	3.7	Summit	14% 1	7%		25%	29%	29%	58%
	3.7	Grand	1	6%		24%	33%	28%	60%
	3.9	Other CO	14%	8%		10%	27%	45%	72%
The area is overcrowded	3.7	Routt	<mark>13%</mark>	8%		25%	25%	33%	57%
because of too many visitors.	3.1	Eagle	249	<mark>6</mark> 30%		35%	23%	35%	
	3.2	Pitkin	14	<mark>% 2</mark> 6%		31%	23%	19% <mark>42%</mark>	
	3.3	Summit	16%	24%		28%	27%	20% <mark>48</mark>	%
	3.2	Grand	9% 20	<mark>%</mark> 29%		30%	24%	16% <mark>41%</mark>	
	3.2	Other CO	30	% 349	%	31%	16% 1	9% <mark>35%</mark>	
I would be willing to pay		Routt	2	<mark>1%</mark> 33%	6	24%	26%	17% <mark>43%</mark>	
more for local public services		Eagle	14%	39%	53%	27%	16% 2	0%	
if it meant fewer visitors in the area.		Pitkin	17%	29%	47%	26%	21%	27%	
	2.6	Summit	20%	28%	49%	24%	22%	28%	
	2.7	Grand	15%	34%	49%	26%	18%	25%	
	2.9	Other CO	15%	32%	47%	16%	20%	17% <mark>37%</mark>	



Source: NWCCOG/CAST Community Assessment Project

TOURISM TOPICS

How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (1 = Strongly disagree; 5 = Strongly agree)

Higher Among Seasonal Residents

Rating Category	Avg	County	Disagree (1 & 2)	Neutral (3)		Agree (4 & 5)
Taxes collected and revenues		Routt	15%	12%	31%	42% 73%
generated from the visitor	4.0	Eagle	12%	13%	35%	40% <mark>75%</mark>
economy help to sustain the quality of life in the community.	4.0	Pitkin	13%	11%	34%	42% 75%
quality of the in the community.	4.2	Summit	9%	8%	38%	45% <mark>84%</mark>
	4.0	Grand	12%	13%	32%	44% 76%
	4.0	Other CO	14%	5%	37%	44% <mark>81%</mark>
Arts organizations, cultural		Routt	11%	21%	43%	25% 68%
attractions, hospital/medical	4.1	Eagle	6%	15%	45%	35% <mark>80%</mark>
services, and festivals benefit rom visitors to the community.	3.8	Pitkin	13%	17%	37%	33% <mark>70%</mark>
from visitors to the community.	4.0	Summit	7%	15%	44%	33% <mark>77%</mark>
	3.9	Grand	7%	19%	43%	31% <mark>74%</mark>
	3.8	Other CO	13%	17%	46%	23% <mark>70%</mark>
In general, the benefits of a		Routt	25% 35%	17%	33%	16% 48%
visitor economy outweigh the	3.6	Eagle	14%	28%	33%	25% <mark>57%</mark>
drawbacks for the community.	3.7	Pitkin	17%	18%	42%	23% 65%
	3.7	Summit	16% 19%	19%	36%	26% <mark>62%</mark>
	3.6	Grand	18%	22%	40%	20% 60%
	3.5	Other CO	18% 21%	21%	40%	19% <mark>58%</mark>



TOURISM TAKEAWAYS

About half of Resident Renters and Owners agree that the area is overcrowded. Anecdotal comments suggest overcrowding was viewed to be a major problem during COVID.

- Survey data show suggest that perception has likely diminished over the past several years. However, there is still a large block of full-time residents that have concerns and would support efforts to address and mitigate the obvious examples of crowding (weekend congestion, trail heads, parking, etc.).
- Measuring capacity and crowding at peak times, and making efforts to mitigate the impacts, is a logical policy response to this perception.



TOURISM TAKEAWAYS

Most cohorts do support diverting existing funding from marketing efforts towards community-based priorities, with those that support such measure favoring 25-50% diversion of funding.

TAKEAWAYS:

- A cautionary message, marketing funds will likely continue to come under pressure. Potentially shifting the emphasis and "story" from marketing to management/ mitigation, and sustainable practices is a strategic response.
- Recognize residents (voters) are particularly likely to support diverting funding.



When the state of the state


QUALITY OF LIFE 29 FACTORS RATED

The survey evaluated the importance of 29 factors that contribute to Quality of Life (QoL). As illustrated on the following slide, these factors can be grouped into six subgroups and the average (mean) ratings of importance are summarized. Like many of the survey questions, responses differ by cohort. In the following slides, the survey results are shown by County. Then, the results are analyzed by Residency. In summary, the analysis found that there are seven identifiable QoL factors that are rated highly important to full time resident owners, resident renters and second homeowners. Other categories are of varying importance. And in an important finding, renters place cost of housing, and cost of living at the top of their importance ratings, this opinion is not strongly shared by resident owners or second homeowners.




QUALITY OF LIFE 29 FACTORS RATED

Please rate how important the following factors are in determining the quality of life in your community for you and your household (0 = Not at all important; 10 = Very important)




Please rate how important the following factors are in determining the quality of life in your community for you and your household (0 = Not at all important; 10 = Very important)



Community Values and Atmosphere

Outdoor Actvities and Recreation





Please rate how important the following factors are in determining the quality of life in your community for you and your household (0 = Not at all important; 10 = Very important)

Infrastructure and Services

		Average Rating										
Rating Category	Grand Total	Eagle	Grand	Pitkin	Routt	Summit	Other CO					
Emergency services / response time	8.6	8.7	8.5	8.5	8.6	8.6	8.3					
Availability of high speed internet	8.5	8.7	8.4	8.6	8.3	8.6	8.4					
Quality and adequacy of grocery stores	8.5	8.6	8.2	8.6	8.4	8.6	8.2					
Quality (sound) infrastructure (sidewalks, traffic signals/signs, paving, sewer, water quality, etc.)	8.2	8.4	7.7	8.5	8.1	8.3	8.0					
Traffic congestion	7.9	7.9	7.7	8.2	8.0	8.1	7.4					
Solid capital planning for public assets	7.9	8.1	7.5	7.8	7.9	7.8	7.8					
Availability of parking	7.0	7.3	6.6	7.2	6.9	7.5	6.0					
Ability to get around without a motor vehicle	6.8	6.6	6.0	7.9	6.6	6.6	7.0					
Proximity to airport/availability of flights	6.1	6.6	4.2	7.2	6.2	5.6	5.7					
Accessibility to big-ticket retail (vehicles, furniture, appliances, etc)	4.0	4.1	3.4	3.9	4.2	4.3	3.8					
Availability of childcare	3.6	3.6	2.9	3.8	4.2	2.9	4.2					



Cost of Living and Housing

Please rate how important the following factors are in determining the quality of life in your community for you and your household (0 = Not at all important; 10 = Very important)

Average Rating


Routt

Summit

Pitkin

 Grand Total
 Eagle
 Grand

 Cost of living
 8.2
 8.3
 8.1



Variety of restaurants	7.1	7.3	7.0	7.4	6.7	7.4	6.4
Arts/culture/entertainment for all	6.9	7.0	6.5	7.6	6.5	6.8	6.9
Quality and frequency of events and festivals	D 3	6.6	6.3	6.7	5.7	6.7	5.7

*Sorted by total average rating

Other CO

8.2

7.8

6.8

6.4

8.2

7.5



SEGMENT CATEGORIES TOP 10

		Own - use as primary residence	Own - use as secondary residence	Rent
Categories Important to All	Access to outdoor activities and experiences beyond snowsports	~	~	~
	Easy access to trails	~	~	~
	Sense of safety and security	~	~	~
	Emergency services / response time	~	~	~
	Availability of high-speed internet	~	~	~
	Quality and adequacy of grocery stores	~	~	~
	Quality (sound) infrastructure (sidewalks, traffic signals/signs, paving, sewer, water quality, etc.)	~	~	~
Categories Important to Two	Sense of community	~		~
Categories Important to One	Small town atmosphere	~		
	Traffic congestion	~		
	Access to snowsports opportunities		~	
	Variety of restaurants		~	
	Quality of recreation facilities and programs		~	
	Availability and cost of housing		-	~
	Cost of living			~



ASPECTS WITH BROAD SUPPORT

		Own - use as primary residence	Own - use as secondary residence	Rent
Categories Important to ALL	Access to outdoor activities and experiences beyond snowsports Easy access to trails Sense of safety and security Emergency services / response time Availability of high-speed internet Quality and adequacy of grocery stores Quality (sound) infrastructure	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Categories Important to Two	Sense of community	~		~
Categories Important to One	Small town atmosphere Traffic congestion Access to snowsports opportunities Variety of restaurants Quality of recreation facilities and programs Availability and cost of housing Cost of living			



CATEGORIES SPECIFIC TO OWNERS

		Own - use as primary residence	Own - use as secondary residence	Rent
Categories Important to All Three	Access to outdoor activities and experiences beyond snowsports	~	~	
	Easy access to trails	~	~	
	Sense of safety and security	\checkmark	V	
	Emergency services / response time	\sim	1	
	Availability of high-speed internet	\sim	1	
	Quality and adequacy of grocery stores	\sim	1	
	Quality (sound) infrastructure (sidewalks, traffic signals/signs, paving, sewer, water quality, etc.)	\sim		
Categories Important to Two	Sense of community	\checkmark		\sim
Categories Important to One Category	Small town atmosphere	~		
	Traffic congestion	V		
	Access to snowsports opportunities			
	Variety of restaurants		1	
	Quality of recreation facilities and programs		\sim	
	Availability and cost of housing			
	Cost of living			



CATEGORIES SPECIFIC TO <u>SECOND HOMEOWNERS</u>

		Own - use as primary residence	Own - use as secondary residence	Rent
Categories Important to All Three	Access to outdoor activities and experiences beyond snowsports	~	~	~
	Easy access to trails	~	~	
	Sense of safety and security	\sim	~	
	Emergency services / response time	\sim	V	
	Availability of high-speed internet	\sim	V	
	Quality and adequacy of grocery stores	\sim	~	
	Quality (sound) infrastructure (sidewalks, traffic signals/signs, paving, sewer, water quality, etc.)	\sim	~	
Categories Important to Two	Sense of community	~		~
	Small town atmosphere	~		
	Traffic congestion	~		
Categories	Access to snowsports opportunities	Ŧ	1	
Important to One Category	Variety of restaurants Quality of recreation facilities and programs		- X	
	Availability and cost of housing		*	×.
	Cost of living			



CATEGORIES SPECIFIC TO <u>RENTERS</u>

		Own - use as primary residence	Own - use as secondary residence	Rent
Categories Important to All Three	Access to outdoor activities and experiences beyond snowsports	\checkmark	~	~
	Easy access to trails	\checkmark	~	
	Sense of safety and security	\sim	1	
	Emergency services / response time	\sim	1	
	Availability of high-speed internet	\sim	1	
	Quality and adequacy of grocery stores	\sim	1	
	Quality (sound) infrastructure (sidewalks, traffic signals/signs, paving, sewer, water quality, etc.)	\sim	1	
Categories Important to Two	Sense of community	~		\sim
	Small town atmosphere	~		
	Traffic congestion	~		
Categories	Access to snowsports opportunities	-	 Image: A second s	
Important to One Category	Variety of restaurants		 Image: A second s	
	Quality of recreation facilities and programs		\checkmark	
	Availability and cost of housing			\sim
	Cost of living			\sim




Please rate how important the following factors are in determining the quality of life in your community for you and your household (0 = Not at all important; 10 = Very important)

Community Values and Atmosphere



Outdoor Actvities and Recreation





Please rate how important the following factors are in determining the quality of life in your community for you and your household (0 = Not at all important; 10 = Very important)

Infrastructure and Services


Rating Category	Own - use as primary residence	Average Rating Own - use as secondary residence	Rent	
Availability of high speed internet	8.6	8.8	8.2	
Emergency services / response time	8.5	8.6	8.5	
Quality and adequacy of grocery stores	8.5	8.4	8.5	
Quality (sound) infrastructure (sidewalks, traffic signals/signs, paving, sewer, water quality, etc.)	8.1	8.2	8.3	
Traffic congestion	8.2	7.9	7.5	
Solid capital planning for public assets	8.1	7.9	7.6	
Availability of parking	6.9	7.2	6.9	
Ability to get around without a motor vehicle	6.4	6.5	7.4	
Proximity to airport/availability of flights	6.3	5.7	6.0	
Accessibility to big-ticket retail (vehicles, furniture, appliances, etc)	4.0	4.2	3.7	
Availability of childcare	4.1	2.1	4.2	





Source: NWCCOG/CAST Community Assessment Project

Please rate how important the following factors are in determining the quality of life in your community for you and your household (0 = Not at all important; 10 = Very important)



Cost of Living and Housing



Now based on your responses to the question that asked about quality of life, what factors are most important to determining the quality of life for you and your household? (Select up to 5 choices that apply)

	Grand Total	Eagle	Grand	Pitkin	Routt	Summit	Other CO
Access to (non-snowsports) outdoor activities	42%	39%	43%	50%	38%	50%	37%
Sense of community	39%	41%	36%	37%	47%	30%	44%
Access to snowsports opportunities	35%	36%	36%	39%	30%	47%	21%
Availability and cost of housing	32%	38%	33%	33%	31%	22%	32%
Easy access to trails	31%	29%	37%	22%	24%	37%	37%
Cost of living	31%	34%	31%	32%	32%	29%	22%
Sense of safety and security	28%	31%	24%	30%	27%	26%	30%
Small town atmosphere	28%	21%	34%	31%	37%	24%	33%
Availability of high speed internet	26%	26%	33%	25%	26%	26%	25%
Emergency services / response time	23%	24%	26%	18%	22%	25%	21%
Quality and adequacy of grocery stores	23%	23%	22%	22%	19%	25%	23%
Traffic congestion	18%	13%	15%	22%	22%	22%	20%
Variety of restaurants	13%	14%	15%	16%	7%	14%	10%
Quality of recreation facilities and programs	13%	12%	15%	10%	10%	12%	20%
Arts/culture/entertainment for all	11%	11%	8%	19%	9%	9%	12%
Public and private K-12 schools	11%	12%	7%	8%	14%	9%	16%
Last mile mobility solutions	11%	9%	6%	17%	9%	9%	18%
Proximity to airport/availability of flights	11%	15%	1%	17%	10%	6%	9%
Diversity of the community	11%	10%	8%	12%	8%	10%	19%
Vibrant/high energy community	10%	11%	9%	13%	7%	9%	8%
Family friendly opportunities	10%	9%	13%	8%	13%	9%	10%
Rich community history/heritage	9%	5%	12%	11%	18%	5%	10%
Planning/preparation for natural disasters	8%	9%	13%	7%	5%	7%	9%
Availability of parking	9%	9%	6%	15%	9%	7%	6%
Solid capital planning for public assets	7%	5%	8%	5%	8%	7%	10%
Quality and frequency of events and festivals	6%	9%	6%	5%	4%	7%	3%
Availability of childcare	5%	5%	4%	3%	7%	6%	4%
Quality (sound) infrastructure	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%
Other #1	5%	5%	5%	6%	5%	7%	4%
Other #2	3%	2%	2%	5%	2%	3%	2%



Now based on your responses to the question that asked about quality of life, what factors are most important to determining the quality of life for you and your household? (Select up to 5 choices that apply)

	Grand Total	Own - use as primary residence	Own - use as secondary residence	Rent
Access to (non-snowsports) outdoor activities	43%	40%	53%	38%
Sense of community	40%	49%	21%	44%
Access to snowsports opportunities	35%	29%	55%	27%
Availability and cost of housing	32%	20%	6%	72%
Easy access to trails	31%	27%	37%	30%
Cost of living	30%	31%	12%	46%
Sense of safety and security	28%	28%	28%	27%
Small town atmosphere	28%	36%	25%	20%
Availability of high speed internet	26%	26%	35%	19%
Emergency services / response time	23%	25%	25%	19%
Quality and adequacy of grocery stores	22%	25%	22%	19%
Traffic congestion	18%	24%	17%	12%
Variety of restaurants	13%	8%	23%	10%
Quality of recreation facilities and programs	13%	13%	14%	12%
Arts/culture/entertainment for all	12%	10%	12%	14%
Public and private K-12 schools	11%	18%	2%	10%
Last mile mobility solutions	11%	9%	12%	13%
Proximity to airport/availability of flights	11%	11%	15%	7%
Diversity of the community	11%	9%	5%	20%
Vibrant/high energy community	10%	10%	11%	10%
Family friendly opportunities	10%	11%	14%	5%
Rich community history/heritage	9%	12%	8%	6%
Planning/preparation for natural disasters	9%	8%	10%	8%
Availability of parking	8%	7%	9%	10%
Solid capital planning for public assets	7%	8%	7%	5%
Quality and frequency of events and festivals	6%	4%	12%	4%
Availability of childcare	5%	5%	1%	8%
Quality (sound) infrastructure	1%	1%	1%	1%
Other #1	5%	6%	5%	4%
Other #2	3%	2%	2%	3%



From the factors listed above, rank order the top three categories that should receive greatest attention from local leaders.

Pating Category	Response Labels	Eagle	Grand	Percent R Pitkin	esponding Routt	Summit	Other CO
	Cost of living and housing	45%	35%	45%	37%	31%	31%
Top Thomy	Outdoor activities and recreation	14%	21%	14%	12%	26%	12%
	Infrastructure and services	13%	15%	14%	15%	13%	25%
	Community values and atmosphere	13%	13%	13%	20%	13%	18%
	Safety and security	14%	12%	12%	15%	15%	14%
	Dining and entertainment	1%	2%	2%	0.4%	2%	0.2%
2nd Priority	Cost of living and housing	11%	13%	7%	17%	15%	18%
	Outdoor activities and recreation	17%	20%	21%	18%	20%	17%
	Infrastructure and services	31%	27%	22%	26%	28%	31%
	Community values and atmosphere	15%	17%	19%	18%	13%	16%
	Safety and security	20%	16%	25%	19%	18%	14%
	Dining and entertainment	6%	7%	7%	3%	7%	4%
3rd Priority	Cost of living and housing	10%	14%	9%	11%	10%	16%
	Outdoor activities and recreation	19%	20%	17%	19%	18%	19%
	Infrastructure and services	24%	19%	18%	24%	20%	17%
	Community values and atmosphere	20%	18%	26%	23%	19%	24%
	Safety and security	17%	18%	14%	17%	18%	17%
	Dining and entertainment	9%	11%	17%	6%	16%	8%



Source: NWCCOG/CAST Community Assessment Project

*Sorted by total top priority

From the factors listed above, rank order the top three categories that should receive greatest attention from local leaders.

			Percent Responding Own - use as secondary	
Rating Category	Response Labels	Own - use as primary residence	residence	Rent
Top Priority	Cost of living and housing	31%	12%	72%
	Outdoor activities and recreation	13%	34%	5%
	Infrastructure and services	19%	17%	10%
	Community values and atmosphere	22%	12%	9%
	Safety and security	15%	22%	4%
	Dining and entertainment	1%	3%	
2nd Priority	Cost of living and housing	17%	11%	9%
	Outdoor activities and recreation	17%	19%	18%
	Infrastructure and services	28%	26%	30%
	Community values and atmosphere	16%	12%	20%
	Safety and security	17%	17%	22%
	Dining and entertainment	4%	13%	0.2%
	No second choice	2%	1%	
3rd Priority	Cost of living and housing	12%	10%	11%
	Outdoor activities and recreation	17%	16%	21%
	Infrastructure and services	21%	20%	22%
	Community values and atmosphere	21%	18%	21%
	Safety and security	18%	18%	15%
	Dining and entertainment	8%	16%	9%
	No third choice	3%	2%	1%







Source: NWCCOG/CAST Community Assessment Project

NPS RATING FOR COMMUNITY TO LIVE

[If full-time resident] How likely are you to recommend the community to friends and acquaintances as a place to live? (0 = Not at all likely; 10 = Extremely likely)




How likely would you be to recommend the community to friends and relatives as a place to visit? (0 = Not at all likely; 10 = Extremely likely)






NPS RATING FOR COMMUNITY TO VISIT

[If full-time resident] How likely are you to recommend the community to friends and acquaintances as a place to live? (0 = Not at all likely; 10 = Extremely likely)




How likely would you be to recommend the community to friends and relatives as a place to visit? (0 = Not at all likely; 10 = Extremely likely)






QUALITY OF LIFE TRENDS

Over the past few years, would you say the overall quality of life in your community has been:




[If overall quality of life has improved in some aspects, but declined in others] Would you say:






How would you describe the level of pride that you feel in your community?





QUALITY OF LIFE PRIDE BY COUNTY


How would you rate the overall quality of life in your community for you and your family?





QUALITY OF LIFE PRIDE BY RESIDENCY


How would you describe the level of pride that you feel in your community?






QUALITY OF LIFE OVERALL BY RESIDENCY

How would you rate the overall quality of life in your community for you and your family?






QUALITY OF LIFE OVERALL BY GEOGRAPHY



Over the past few years, would you say the overall quality of life in your community has been:


[If overall quality of life has improved in some aspects, but declined in others] Would you say:






QUALITY OF LIFE OVERALL BY RESIDENCY

Over the past few years, would you say the overall quality of life in your community has been:



[If overall quality of life has improved in some aspects, but declined in others] Would you say:





OPEN-ENDED COMMENTS

Over 1000 open-ended comments were obtained, providing additional insights on Quality of Life and examples of the decisions behind respondent's quantitative results.

- 35+ years Eagle Own use as primary residence only: "Billionaires pushing out the millionaires"
- 35+ years Under \$50,000 Eagle Own use as primary residence only: "Community is focusing on tourism far too much. Creating amenities to bring more people to the area, but not focusing on where the workers are going to come from or how the infrastructure is going to support the extra people."
- 16-24 years \$100,000 \$149,999 Eagle Own use as primary residence only: "Forgetting about how hard the locals work to live here. It feels we are often looked at as a burden to the tourist economy."
- 16-24 years \$150,000 \$199,999 Eagle Own use as primary residence only: "I'm going into more and more debt each month trying to stay here and I've been here for 24 years. Housing and the cost of services have gone through the roof while wages remain stagnate. Leadership has this insane saying "Well, you are lucky to live here and you can leave if you don't like it." That's bullhockey and our communities are just going to be filled with retired white people w/o anyone in the service industry to be slaves for them."



OPEN-ENDED COMMENTS QUALITY OF LIFE - EXAMPLES

- 16-24 years \$100,000 \$149,999 Eagle Own use as primary residence only: "Seemingly unmitigated growth. So many new housing units without school or roads to accommodate all the people. Plus the observed negative affects on wildlife with the extra recreating."
- 6-15 years \$150,000 \$199,999 Eagle Own use as primary residence only: "Too many people moving in not respecting the "small town" aspects and trying to make it their "city" life."
- 25-34 years Prefer not to respond Eagle Own use as primary residence only: "Traffic and crowds. So many locals are opting out of even getting a ski pass anymore due to collisions and the madness on the slopes. Many have to avoid certain venues, areas events as it is impossible to find parking, bus, etc. Do we really need more tax revenue just to transfer it all to the problems that the extra tax revenue just created with extra needs for housing, water, schools, roads, parking, mental health?"
- 25-34 years \$50,000 \$99,999 Grand Own use as primary residence only: "A lack of ability of business's to hire employees due to lack of affordable housing. "
- 16-24 years Prefer not to respond Grand Own use as secondary residence and NOT STR: "Feel hatred from community for having a short-term rental. However, I pay higher rates for everything to support the community and my renters can't use the benefits."
- 35+ years Grand Own use as primary residence only: "Property values increased 40% = rents and cost of living too high for median income and lower income to survive. 40% of the demand for child care is being met. All=fewer workers."



THE INITIAL PREMISE

5: Tourism-Focused


-5: Resident-Focused

Tourism destinations are undergoing a shift towards becoming more resident-focused, albeit to varying degrees and different segments of the population perceive this transition differently.


The continuum serves as a valuable resource for communities, aiding them in understanding the diverse range of perspectives surrounding this transition and to better manage change that benefits the entire community.



- 1. Identifies where a community is tourism- and resident-focused based on the members of that community
- 2. Identifies gaps in policymakers' expectations / perceptions of the community consensus
- 3. Identifies the community's target state on the continuum, by cohort and characteristic
- 4. Creates KPIs based on Start, Work, and Finish








Future Assessment 42% 46% "From the point of view of you and your household, using the same scale, where would you like the community to be in the future?" 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12%








Current Assessment

"Using the scale below, how would you characterize your community between tourism-focused and resident-focused at the present time?"






Source: NWCCOG/CAST Community Assessment Project

Current Assessment

"Using the scale below, how would you characterize your community between tourism-focused and resident-focused at the present time?"






Source: NWCCOG/CAST Community Assessment Project

Future Assessment

"From the point of view of you and your household, using the same scale, where would you like the community to be <u>in</u> <u>the future</u>?"



Average Rating Overall

5: Tourism-Focused		1.	1.4		► -0.9 (-2.4)			-5: Resident-Focused		
5	4	3	2	1	0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5




Source: NWCCOG/CAST Community Assessment Project

Future Assessment

The Travel Economy Think Tank


"From the point of view of you and your household, using the same scale, where would you like the community to be in the future?"



Source: NWCCOG/CAST Community Assessment Project

Future Assessment

"From the point of view of you and your household, using the same scale, where would you like the community to be <u>in</u> <u>the future</u>?"




	1 5: Tourism-Focused		1.4	1.4		► -0.9 (-2.4)			-5: Resident-Focused		
5	4	3	2	1	0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5	



Source: NWCCOG/CAST Community Assessment Project


Future Assessment & Current Assessment



Average Rating Overall

5: Tourism-Focused		1.4	1.4		-0.9 (-2.	4)	-5: Resident-Focused			
							-7			useu
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
5	4	3	2	1	0	-1	-2	-3	-4	-5





How does the continuum look by:

• County

The Travel Economy Think Tank

- Respondent Type (Homeowner vs. Renter)
- Years in the Community
- Role in Governance


CURRENT & FUTURE ASSESSMENTS OVERALL





BY COUNTY BY RESPONDENT TYPE BY YEARS IN THE COMMUNITY

Q: Using the scale below, how would you characterize your community between tourism-focused and resident-focused ...




*Sorted in descending by the average current assessment.



<u>BY COUNTY</u> BY RESPONDENT TYPE BY YEARS IN THE COMMUNITY

Q: Using the scale below, how would you characterize your community between tourism-focused and resident-focused ...



*Sorted in descending by the average current assessment.



<u>BY COUNTY</u> BY RESPONDENT TYPE BY YEARS IN THE COMMUNITY

Q: Using the scale below, how would you characterize your community between tourism-focused and resident-focused ...



*Sorted in descending by the average current assessment.



BY COUNTY **BY RESPONDENT TYPE** BY YEARS IN THE COMMUNITY

Q: Using the scale below, how would you characterize your community between tourism-focused and resident-focused ...




*Sorted by the difference in present and future ratings.



BY COUNTY **BY RESPONDENT TYPE** BY YEARS IN THE COMMUNITY

Q: Using the scale below, how would you characterize your community between tourism-focused and resident-focused ...




*Sorted by the difference in present and future ratings.



BY COUNTY **BY RESPONDENT TYPE** BY YEARS IN THE COMMUNITY

Q: Using the scale below, how would you characterize your community between tourism-focused and resident-focused ...



*Sorted by the difference in present and future ratings.



CONTINUUM CROSSTABS

BY COUNTY BY RESPONDENT TYPE **BY YEARS IN THE COMMUNITY**

Q: Using the scale below, how would you characterize your community between tourism-focused and resident-focused ...

		at the present time?	•
	Average rating on a scale from:	5: Tourism-Focused	to
	Less than 1 year	2.0	-0.5 (-2.5)
	1-5 years	1.1-	-0.7 (-1.9)
	6-15 years	1.4	-0.9 (-2.3)
By Years in the Community	16-24 years	1.4	-0.7 (-2.1)
	25-34 years	1.5-	-1.2 (-2.7)
	35+ years	1.8	-1.1 (-2.9)
	Not applicable	1.7	-0.8 (-2.6)
		5 4 3 2 1	0 -1 -2 -3 -4 -5


*Sorted by years in the community



CONTINUUM CROSSTABS

BY COUNTY BY RESPONDENT TYPE **<u>BY YEARS IN THE COMMUNITY</u>**

Q: Using the scale below, how would you characterize your community between tourism-focused and resident-focused ...



*Sorted by years in the community




CONTINUUM CROSSTABS

BY COUNTY BY RESPONDENT TYPE **BY**

BY YEARS IN THE COMMUNITY

Q: Using the scale below (-5 to 5), how would you characterize your community between tourism-focused and resident-focused ...





SECONDARY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS


Establishes a series of key or secondary performance indicators:

- Current Continuum position as a value
- Future Continuum positions as a **target**
- Departure Gap as work to be accomplished




SHORT TERM RENTALS

Generally speaking, what is your view of vacation rentals in the community?





Generally speaking, what is your view of vacation rentals in the community?





What concerns, if any, do you have about vacation rentals in the community? (Check all that apply)

	Grand Total	Eagle	Grand	Summit	Pitkin	Routt	Other CO
Impacts on the housing supply for local residents		70%	61%	48%	58%	68%	71%
Increases to the cost of housing	51%	56%	50%	40%	45%	58%	55%
Impacts on community character and quality of life		43%	50%	46 %	35%	52%	46%
The number or density of vacation rentals	6 /1 °/-	34%	38%	31%	30%	33%	40%
Other concerns	7%	6%	7%	10%	8%	8%	4%
No concerns	12%	10%	10%	18%	19%	9%	7%
Don't know / Uncertain	4%	3%	2%	2%	8%	2%	5%



What benefits, if any, do you feel that vacation rentals bring to the community? (Check all that apply)

	Grand Total	Eagle	Grand	Summit	Pitkin	Routt	Other CO
Contribute to the local economy	63%	60%	70%	77%	56%	65%	49%
Enable the community to have more amenities	41%	37%	49%	56%	35%	35%	39%
Support property values	23%	21%	23%	35%	23%	21%	14%
Add vitality and energy to the community	10 /0	15%	20%	25%	25%	14%	12%
Other benefits	5%	3%	3%	6%	5%	5%	6%
No benefits	17%	18%	15%	10%	16%	18%	22%
Don't know / Uncertain	6%	6%	4%	3%	11%	5%	8%




STR BENEFITS & CONCERNS

Own - use as primary Own - use as secondary Grand Total Rent residence residence 62% 81% 50% Contribute to the local economy 58% Enable the community to have 41% 30% 64% 33% more amenities Support property values 23% 20% 43% 8% Add vitality and energy to the 18% 13% 28% 15% community Other benefits 5% 6% 4% 4% No benefits 17% 22% 4% 23% Don't know / Uncertain 6% 7% 7% 3%

What benefits, if any, do you feel that vacation rentals bring to the community? (Check all that apply)

What concerns, if any, do you have about vacation rentals in the community? (Check all that apply)





USE OF METRICS BY COMMUNITY MEMBERS

DATA & METRICS

Please select whether you receive the following data metrics on:

0 - Not valuable) 10 - Extremely 9 1 2 3 Δ 5 6 7 8 valuable valuable Community Housing Usage Community Housing Usage 56% (owners, renters, deed (owners, renters, deed 2% 1% 3% 2% 6% 10% 12% 19% 20% 7% 18% restrictions, etc.) restrictions, etc.) 51% Lodging and Occupancy Lodging and Occupancy 5% 2% 2% 9% 6% 17% 11% 12% 13% 6% 17% Resident Opinions/Sentiment Resident Opinions/Sentiment 42% 1% 2% 1% 6% 4% 19% 9% 12% 10% 10% 26% Data Data Tax Revenues Including On-line 2% Tax Revenues Including On-line 38% 2% 13% 8% 13% 23% 17% 2% 1% 2% 15% Shopping Shopping Vehicle Volumes and Parking 1% Vehicle Volumes and Parking 25% 1% 2% 9% 0% 18% 5% 6% 36% 9% 13% Capacity and Availability Capacity and Availability Measures of DEI (Diversity, Measures of DEI (Diversity, 15% 4% 5% 2% 10% 5% 8% 22% 6% 23% 16% Equity and Inclusion) Equity and Inclusion) Visitor Opinions and Use Visitor Opinions and Use 14% 6% 22% 12% 7% 1% 4% 24% 8% 7% 11% Patterns Patterns Visitor Mix (Overnight, Day, Visitor Mix (Overnight, Day, 13% 6% 11% 26% 3% 3% 1% 13% 13% 18% 7% Second Homeowners) Second Homeowners)

How valuable are the data and metrics you now receive? (0 = Not valuable; 10 = Extremely



DATA & METRICS WHAT WOULD BE OF VALUE BY GEOGRAPHY?

You indicated that you are not receiving the following data, would it be of value for your decision making? (0 = Not valuable; 10 = Extremely valuable)

	Average Rating						
Rating Category	Eagle	Grand	Pitkin	Routt	Summit	Other CO	
Visitor Mix (Overnight, Day, Second Homeowners)	5.6	5.9	5.5	5.8	5.3	5.5	
Visitor Opinions and Use Patterns	5.2	<mark>6</mark> .0	4.5	5.0	5.3	4.3	
Measures of DEI (Diversity, Equity and Inclusion)	5.7	5.1	4.5	5.1	5.3	5.2	
Vehicle Volumes and Parking Capacity and Availability	5.1	5.2	5.1	5.7	4.9	5.3	
Tax Revenues Including On-line Shopping	5.0	5.6	3.8	5.2	6.0	5.2	
Resident Opinions/Sentiment Data	7.2	6.9	7.1	7.0	6.8	7.0	
Lodging and Occupancy	4.9	5.1	5.9	5.8	4.8	4.7	
Community Housing Usage (owners, renters, deed restrictions, etc.)	6.6	6.1	6.7	6.0	5.8	6.2	

*Sorted by percentage of NOT received



DATA & METRICS WHAT WOULD BE OF VALUE BY RESIDENCY?

You indicated that you are not receiving the following data, would it be of value for your decision making? (0 = Not valuable; 10 = Extremely valuable)




*Sorted by percentage of NOT received




DATA & METRICS DMO DATA BY GEOGRAPHY

Does your local destination marketing organization (DMO)/Chamber/Economic Development Agency publish or otherwise provide ongoing data and metrics community-wide to inform residents and policy makers?




Are there questions you would like answered, or is there information you do not have regarding tracking and evaluating your local economy including tourism?






DATA & METRICS DMO DATA BY RESIDENCY

Does your local destination marketing organization (DMO)/Chamber/Economic Development Agency publish or otherwise provide ongoing data and metrics community-wide to inform residents and policy makers?



Are there questions you would like answered, or is there information you do not have regarding tracking and evaluating your local economy including tourism?





Staffing shortages and lack of space contributing to postal problems in Colorado mountain towns

11 headaches that come with 'mountain town life' in Colorado

HOUSING AND URBAN ISSUES

Resort Towns Need to Get Serious About Affordable Housing OUESTIONS OF BALANCE

A new assessment process aims to help mountain communities rebalance following pandemicdriven disruption.

Will Brown Ranch Save Steamboat Springs—or Ruin It?

The massive affordable housing project has caused a rift in the resort town.



Many community challenges are two-dimensional, one side for an issue and another side opposing an issue. Using Continuum can help frame a more nuanced discussion of the issue and open the door for possible solutions.

Will Brown Ranch Save Steamboat Springs—or Ruin It?

The massive affordable housing project has caused a rift in the resort town.



Against More Housing

Properly Framed questions can use the dynamic of the continuum to find a range of nuanced positions.



Continuum provides data that represent a variety of perspectives that can't be drowned out by vocal community elements. It can establish legitimacy for a variety of perspectives.

COMMUNITY CAPACITY

Trails, Parking, Housing, Water, etc.

Resort Perspective

Community Perspective

The continuum tool allows for various perspectives that engage community interest and creativity in finding solutions.



VALIDATING CONTINUUM THE SOLID FOUNDATION BUILT BY THE COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT PROJECT

- Identifies the most important quality of life characteristics for a wide number of cohorts Identifies whether QoL is improving or declining
- Measures concern about declines, if any
- Identifies & quantifies:
 - a current location on Continuum
 - desired location on Continuum
 - a gap between current and desired state ("departure gap")
- Compares those quantified values between cohorts
- Creates a new way of thinking about change in destination
- Generates quantitative values for qualitative characteristics

WANT TO PARTICIPATE USING CONTINUUM? RECOMMENDED SURVEY QUESTIONS

You do not have to work with Insights Collective, nor do you always have to do a survey, but if you want to use Continuum and the associated benchmarks, the IC team recommends that a community include a combination of specific demographic, quality of life, and Continuum questions.

For more information on how to engage and use Continuum tools and methods contact an IC member or NWCCOG representative – see our contact information on the following slide.



Community/Destination Issues/Concerns (Disequilibrium) IC Insights Collective -New Tools for Customized **Insights and Actionable** Implementation/ Insights Collective Measurement **Destination Community Recommendations:** Balance/Equilibrium /Analysis/KPI **KPI Identification** Continuum metrics and benchmarks Think Tank process Insights Collective Insights Collective Scenario planning Scenario Planning Think Tank Report Process

INSIGHTS COLLECTIVE The Travel Economy Think Tank

INSIGHTS COLLECTIVE

The Travel Economy Think Tank



Jon Stavney | Executive Director - NWCCOG jstavney@nwccog.org - (970) 471-9050

Rachel Tuyn | Director - NWCCOG Economic Development District | <u>rtuyn@nwccog.org</u> – (970) 485-0513

Margaret Bowes | Executive Director -Colorado Association of Ski Towns | <u>mbowes@coskitowns.com</u> – (970) 389-4347

Please contact any of the INSIGHTS COLLECTIVE members with additional thoughts & questions!

Barb Taylor Carpender | Founder - Taylored Alliance btc@tayloredalliances.com – (303) 808-7963

Chris Cares | Founder & Managing Director - RRC Associates <u>Chris@rrcassociates.com</u> – (720) 346-4572

Tom Foley | Senior VP Business Intelligence - Inntopia <u>tfoley@inntopia.com</u> – (760) 880-1825

Ralf Garrison | Principal - The Advisory Group of Denver, Inc. <u>ralf@ralfgarrison.com</u> – (303) 929-2122

Brian London | Owner - London Tourism Publications <u>blondon@londonTourismPublicatio.onmicrosoft.com</u> – (904) 402-1738

Carl Ribaudo | President & Chief Strategist - SMG <u>carl@smgonline.net</u> – (530) 957-5299

Susan Rubin-Stewart srubinstew@outlook.com – (970) 333-0075

Jesse True | VP Marketing & Skier Services - Arapahoe Basin Ski Area Jesset@a-basin.net – (970) 513-5767