

CAST Housing and Parking Survey Responses – May 2016

The Town of Frisco would like to know if any other CAST towns are experiencing overcrowding in residential units, resulting in too many tenants occupying a home or unit, and/or too many cars parked in or around a property. Also, does your town have limits on the number of unrelated people who can occupy a property? Have you passed any ordinances to address these issues?

Responses:

Ketchum, Idaho: 2 replies - Ketchum has not experienced this housing issue. Their code does not get specific about the number of individuals per dwelling or how they can be related to each other.

Snowmass Village: 1 reply – Allows families and identifies families to mean the standard definition or a maximum of 4 unrelated people to live in the same house.

Town of Avon: 1 reply - Avon regulates density by the definition of Dwelling Unit and Family. They have not seen many issues of too many cars at a residence. Included in family definition is not more than four persons living together.

Durango: 2 replies – Allows up to 5 unrelated people to live in a single unit together. This number has been allowed since the 60's due to the lack of student housing provided by Fort Lewis College. They have not issues with too many cars.

Estes Park: 1 response – Addresses the issues in the Estes Valley Development Code. The code basically says that not more than 8 non-related individuals can share a single family. The number of cars allowed on the property are relative to the size of the lot. Parking on-street is not permitted by residents.